Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,963	SCHEIBLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunray Chang	2121	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>open</u>		
(1) <u>Sunray Chang</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Laurence A Greenburg</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2005</u>	Time: <u>16:00</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.	·		
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: No response has been filed after 05/11/2005 office action.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Applican	ay Abugaur a Hobi caciirariye Ol	gnaturo – ii appropriate)	